

Lecture 5b: Practice Problem Solutions: John McGready

Lecture 5b: Practice Problem Solutions

John McGready
Johns Hopkins University

Hourly Wages

- Recall the MLR relating hourly wages to years of formal education and worker's sex? (1 = female, 0 = male). Years of education in this sample ranged from 2 to 18 years.

```
regress wage edlevel sex
-----+-----
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =
Model	2591.49936	2	1295.74968	533
Residual	11415.1992	531	21.4989927	R-squared = 0.1869
Total	14006.69856	533	26.4103162	Adj R-squared = 0.1823
				Root MSE = 4.6386

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
edlevel	.7512834	.0768225	9.78	0.000	-.6003791	1.9621967
sex	-3.124097	.4026322	-7.77	0.000	-3.9185937	-2.3295904
_cons	.2179322	1.036222	0.21	0.834	-1.817962	2.252924

2

Hourly Wages

- Recall the MLR relating hourly wages to years of formal education and worker's sex? (1 = female, 0 = male). Years of education in this sample ranged from 2 to 18 years.
 - What does the model estimate for the mean difference in hourly wages for male workers with 16 years of education compared to male workers with 12 years of education?
 - The slope estimate for years of education, $\hat{\beta}_1$ (edlevel), quantifies the sex adjusted relationship between wages and years of education, per one year increment. The comparison here is for a four-year difference in years of education, so the resulting mean difference in wages estimate is $4\hat{\alpha}_1 = 4 \times 0.75 = \$3.00/hr$.

3

Hourly Wages

- Recall the MLR relating hourly wages to years of formal education and worker's sex? (1 = female, 0 = male). Years of education in this sample ranged from 2 to 18 years.
 - Compute a 95% CI for the true mean difference in hourly wages for the same comparison in part a.
 - Recall, the resulting estimated mean difference is given by $4\hat{\beta}_1$. A 95% for $4\hat{\beta}_1$ is $4\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2SE(4\hat{\beta}_1) \rightarrow 4\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2 \times 4SE(\hat{\beta}_1)$. Notice this is equivalent to $4(\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2SE(\hat{\beta}_1))$, which can be easily obtained by multiplying the endpoints from the 95% CI for $\hat{\beta}_1$ given in the Stata output: (4*0.60, 4*0.90) gives a 95% CI of (\$2.40/hr, \$3.60/hr).

4

Hourly Wages

- Recall the MLR relating hourly wages to years of formal education and worker's sex? (1 = female, 0 = male). Years of education in this sample ranged from 2 to 18 years.
 - What does the model estimate for the mean difference in hourly wages for female workers with 16 years of education compared to female workers with 12 years of education?
 - There are no extra computations needed; this is exactly the same as the answers to part a.

5

Hourly Wages

- What does the model estimate for the mean difference in hourly wages for female workers with 16 years of education compared to male workers with 12 years of education?
 - Notice that this cannot be answered using just one slope from the regression. Let's write out what the equation predictions for both of the groups we are considering.
 - F, 16 years of education: $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times 16 + \hat{\beta}_2 \times 1$
 - M, 12 years of education: $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times 12$
 - The difference in these two estimates is as follows:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \times 4 + \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.75 \times 4 + -2.12 = \$0.88/hr$$

6

Lecture 5b: Practice Problem Solutions: John McGready

Hemoglobin

2. Recall the MLR relating Hb to PCV and age. In this sample, PCV ranges from 25% to 55%; age ranges from 20 years to 67 years.

```

. regress Hb PCV age
-----+-----
Source |      SS      df       MB                Number of obs =    21
-----+-----
Model |  88.7923124      2   44.3961562                F( 2, 18) =  48.28
Residual | 18.5591129     18   .103106214                Prob > F      = 0.0000
-----+-----
Total | 107.351425     20   5.26757126                R-squared     = 0.8214
                                           Root MSE     = .32114

-----+-----
Hb |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
pcv |  1.023427   .0312317     32.98  0.000   .9597274   1.087128
age |  -0.013414  .0164271     -0.82  0.420  -0.066253  .0394254
_cons |  5.516526   1.114965     4.95  0.000   3.279152   7.853892
    
```

7

Hourly Wages

2. Recall the MLR relative Hb to PCV and age. In this sample, PCV ranges from 25% to 55%; age ranges from 20 years to 67 years.

a) What does the model estimate for the mean difference in Hb for 60 year old subjects with PCV of 40% compared to 60 year old subjects with PCV of 32%?

– The slope estimate for packed cell volume (PCV), $\hat{\beta}_1$, quantifies the age adjusted relationship between Hb and PCV per 1% increment. The comparison here is for an 8-percent difference in PCV among persons of the same age (60), so the resulting mean difference in Hb estimate is as follows:

$$8\hat{\alpha}_1 = 8 \times 0.10 = 0.80\text{g/dL}.$$

8

Hourly Wages

2. Recall the MLR relative Hb to PCV and age. In this sample, PCV ranges from 25% to 55%; age ranges from 20 years to 67 years.

b) Compute a 95% CI for the true mean difference in Hb levels for the same comparison in part a.

– Recall, the resulting estimated mean difference is given by $8\hat{\beta}_1$. A 95% for $8\hat{\beta}_1$ is $8\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2SE(8\hat{\beta}_1) \rightarrow 8\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 8 \times 2SE(\hat{\beta}_1)$. Notice this is equivalent to $8(\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2SE(\hat{\beta}_1))$, which can be easily obtained by multiplying the endpoints from the 95% CI for $\hat{\beta}_1$ given in the Stata output: (8*0.04, 8*0.17) gives a 95% CI of 0.32g/dL, 1.36 g/dL).

9

Hourly Wages

c) What does the model estimate for the mean difference in Hb for 60 year old subjects with PCV of 40% compared to 50 year old subjects with PCV of 32%?

– Notice that this cannot be answered using just one slope from the regression. Let's write out what the equation predictions for both of the groups we are considering.

– 60 year olds, PCV = 40%: $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times 40 + \hat{\beta}_2 \times 60$

– 50 year olds, PCV = 32%: $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times 32 + \hat{\beta}_2 \times 50$

– The difference in these two estimates is as follows:

$$8\hat{\beta}_1 + 10\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.10 \times 8 + 0.10 \times 10 = 1.80\text{g/dL}$$

10